Understanding M-values

By Erik C. Baker, P.E.

In conjunction with an array of hypothetical "tissue" compartments, gas loading calculations and M-values compose the major elements of the dissolved gas or "Haldanian" decompression model. Through the use of widely-available desktop computer programs, technical divers rely on this model for their decompression safety. A good understanding of M-values can help divers to determine appropriate conservatism factors and evaluate the adequacy of various decompression profiles for a particular dive.

hat are M-values? The term "M-value" was coined by Robert D. Workman in the mid-1960's when he was doing decompression research for the U.S. Navy Experimental Diving Unit (NEDU). Workman was a medical doctor with the rank of Captain in the Medical Corps of the U.S. Navy.

The "M" in M-value stands for "Maximum." For a given ambient pressure, an M-value is defined as the maximum value of inert gas pressure (absolute) that a hypothetical "tissue" compartment can "tolerate" without presenting overt symptoms of decompression sickness (DCS). Mvalues are representative limits for the tolerated gradient between inert gas pressure and ambient pressure in each Other terms used for compartment. M-values are "limits for tolerated overpressure," "critical tensions," and "supersaturation limits." The term Mvalue is commonly used by decompression modelers.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

In the dissolved gas or "Haldanian" decompression model, gas loading calculations for each hypothetical "tissue" compartment are compared against "ascent limiting criteria" to determine the safe profile for ascent. In the early years of the model, including the method developed by John S. Haldane in 1908, the ascent limiting criteria was in the form of "supersaturation ratios." For example, Haldane found that a diver whose "tissues" were saturated by breathing air at a depth of 33 fsw could ascend directly to the surface (sea level)

without experiencing symptoms of DCS. Because the ambient pressure at 33 fsw depth is twice that at sea level, Haldane concluded that a ratio of 2:1 for tolerated overpressure above ambient could be used as the ascent limiting criteria. This approximate ratio was used by Haldane to develop the first decompression tables. In later years, and up until the 1960's, other ratios were used by various modelers for the different half-time compartments. Most of the U.S. Navy decompression tables were calculated using this supersaturation ratio method.

However, there was a problem. Many of the tables produced by this method were deficient when it came to deeper and longer dives. Robert Workman began a systematic review of the decompression model including previous research that had been performed by the U.S. Navy. He arrived at some important conclusions. First of all, he recognized that Haldane's original ratio of 2:1 (based on air) was really a ratio of 1.58:1 if you considered only the partial pressure of the inert gas in air - nitrogen. [By that time in decompression research it was known that oxygen was not a significant factor in DCS; it was the inert gases like nitrogen and helium that were the culprits.] In his review of the research data, Workman found that the "tissue ratios" for tolerated overpressure varied by half-time compartment and by depth. The data showed that the faster half-time compartments tolerated a greater overpressure ratio than the slower compartments, and that for all compartments the tolerated ratios became less with increasing depth. Then, instead of using ratios, Workman described the maximum tolerated partial pressure of

nitrogen and helium for each compartment at each depth as the "Mvalue." Next, he made a "linear projection" of these M-values as a function of depth and found that it was a reasonably close match to the actual data. He made the observation that "a linear projection of M-values is useful for computer programming as well."

THE WORKMAN M-VALUES

Workman's presentation of M-values in the form of a linear equation was a significant step in the evolution of the dissolved gas decompression model. His M-values established the concept of a linear relationship between depth pressure [or ambient pressure] and the tolerated inert gas pressure in each "tissue" compartment. This concept is an important element of the present-day dissolved gas model as applied by a variety of modelers.

Workman expressed his M-values in the slope-intercept form of a linear equation (see Figure 1). His surfacing value was designated M_0 [pronounced "M naught"]. This was the intercept value in the linear equation at zero depth pressure (gauge) at sea level. The slope in the linear equation was designated ΔM [pronounced "delta M"] and represented the change in M-value with change in depth pressure.

THE BÜHLMANN M-VALUES

Professor Albert A. Bühlmann, M.D., began doing decompression research in 1959 in the Laboratory of Hyperbaric Physiology at the University Hospital in Zürich, Switzerland. Bühlmann continued his research for over thirty years and made a number of important contributions to decompression science. In 1983 he published the first edition (in German) of a successful book entitled Decompression - Decompression Sickness. An English translation of the book was published in 1984. Bühlmann's book was the first nearly complete reference on making decompression calculations that was widely-available to the diving public. As a result, the "Bühlmann algorithm" became the basis for most of the world's in-water decompression computers and do-it-yourself desktop computer programs. Three more editions of the book were published in German in 1990, 1993, and 1995 under the name Tauchmedizin or "Diving Medicine." [An English translation of the 4th Edition of the book (1995) is in preparation for publication].

Bühlmann's method for decompression calculations was similar to the one that Workman had prescribed. This included M-values which expressed a linear relationship between ambient pressure and tolerated inert gas pressure in the hypothetical "tissue" compartments. The major difference between the two approaches was that Workman's M-values were based on depth pressure (i.e. diving from sea level) and Bühlmann's M-values were based on absolute pressure (i.e. for diving at altitude). This makes sense, of course, since Workman was concerned with the diving activities of the U.S. Navy (presumably performed at sea level) while Bühlmann was concerned with diving activities in the high mountain lakes of Switzerland.

Bühlmann published two sets of Mvalues which have become well-known in diving circles; the ZH- L_{12} set from the 1983 book, and the ZH-L16 set(s) from the 1990 book (and later editions). The "ZH" in these designations stands for "Zürich" (named after his hometown), the "L" stands for "linear," and the "12" or "16" represents the number of pairs of coefficients (M-values) for the array of half-time compartments for helium and nitrogen. The ZH- L_{12} set has twelve pairs of coefficients for sixteen half-time compartments and these M-values were determined empirically (i.e. with actual



Figure 1

decompression trials). The ZH-L16A set has sixteen pairs of coefficients for sixteen half-time compartments and these M-values were mathematically-derived from the half-times based on the tolerated surplus volumes and solubilities of the inert gases. The ZH-L16A set of Mvalues for nitrogen is further divided into subsets B and C because the mathematically-derived set A was found empirically not to be conservative enough in the middle compartments. The modified set B (slightly more conservative) is suggested for table calculations and the modified set C (somewhat more conservative) is suggested for use with in-water decompression computers which calculate in real-time.

Similar to the Workman M-values, the Bühlmann M-values are expressed in the slope-intercept form of a linear equation (see Figure 1). The Coefficient \mathbf{a} is the intercept at zero ambient pressure (absolute) and the Coefficient \mathbf{b} is the reciprocal of the slope. [Note: the Coefficient **a** does not imply that humans can withstand zero absolute pressure! This is simply a mathematical requirement for the equation. The lower limit for ambient pressure in the application of the Bühlmann M-values is on the order of 0.5 atm/bar.]

DCAP AND DSAT M-VALUES

Many technical divers will recognize the 11F6 set of M-values used by Hamilton Research's Decompression Computation and Analysis Program (DCAP). This set or "matrix" of M-values was determined by Dr. Bill Hamilton and colleagues during development of new air decompression tables for the Swedish Navy. In addition to air diving, the 11F6 M-values have worked well for trimix diving and are the basis for many custom decompression tables in use by technical divers.

Many sport divers are familiar with

the Recreational Dive Planner (RDP) distributed by the Professional Association of Diving Instructors (PADI). The M-values used for the RDP were developed and tested by Dr. Raymond E. Rogers, Dr. Michael R. Powell, and colleagues with Diving Science and Technology Corp. (DSAT), a corporate affiliate of PADI. The DSAT M-values were empirically verified with extensive in-water diver testing and Doppler monitoring.

COMPARISON OF M-VALUES

Tables 1 thru 4 present a comparison of M-values for nitrogen and helium between the various Haldanian decompression algorithms discussed in this article. All M-values are presented in Workman-style format. An evolution or refinement in the M-values is evident from Workman (1965) to Bühlmann (1990). The general trend has been to become slightly more conservative. This trend reflects a more intensive validation process (empirical testing) and includes the use of Doppler ultrasound monitoring for the presence and quantity of "silent bubbles" (bubbles which are detectable in the circulation but are not associated with overt symptoms of decompression sickness).

CONSISTENCY OF M-VALUES

One observation that can be made about the comparison between the M-values of the various algorithms is that there is not a great difference between them. In other words, there appears to be a certain consistency between the values determined by various independent researchers around the globe. This is a good sign as it indicates that the science has determined a relatively consistent threshold for symptoms of decompression sickness across the human population.

FORMAT FOR M-VALUES

M-values are often expressed in the form of a linear equation as in the Workmanstyle or the Bühlmann-style. This format is ideal for computer programming since it allows the M-values to be calculated "on-the-fly" as they are needed. The linear format permits the display of Mvalue lines on the pressure graph as well.

M-values can also be expressed in the form of a "matrix" or table. This is simply where the M-values for each halftime compartment and each stop depth are pre-calculated and arranged in columns and rows. This format is useful for detailed comparisons and analysis. Some of the early dive computers and desktop computer programs used the table format to "look up" M-values for each stop during the calculation process.

M-VALUE CHARACTERISTICS

M-value sets can be classified into two categories, no-decompression sets and decompression sets. No-decompression M-values are surfacing values only. The DSAT RDP M-values are an example. No-stop dive profiles are designed so that the calculated gas loadings in the compartments do not exceed the surfacing M-values. This allows for direct ascent to the surface at any time during the dive. Some no-decompression

Workman Definitions:

M = tolerated inert gas pressure (absolute) in hypothetical "tissue" compartment

Depth = depth pressure (gauge) measured from surface at sea level

Tolerated Depth = tolerated depth pressure (gauge) measured from surface at sea level

 M_{\odot} = intercept at zero depth pressure (gauge); surfacing M-value

 ΔM = slope of M-value line

Bühlmann Definitions:

P_{t.tol.}i.g. = tolerated inert gas pressure (absolute) in hypothetical "tissue" compartment

P_ti.g. = inert gas pressure (absolute) in hypothetical "tissue" compartment

P_{amb.} = ambient pressure (absolute)

P_{amb.tol.} = tolerated ambient pressure (absolute)

a = intercept at zero ambient pressure (absolute)

b = reciprocal of slope of M-value line

algorithms account for ascent and descent rates in the calculations.

M-value Mathematics								
Linear Equations:	<u>y = mx + b_format</u>	x = (y - b) / m format						
Workman-style:	$M = \triangle M \cdot Depth + M_o$	Tolerated Depth = (P - M_{\circ}) / ΔM						
Bühlmann-style:	$P_{t.tol}i.g. = (P_{amb} / b) + a$	$P_{amb.tol} = (P_t i.g a) \cdot b$						
Workman to Bühlmanı	$-$ Conversions \rightarrow	Bühlmann to Workman						
$a = M_o - \Delta M \cdot P_{amb. (surface}$	ce at sea level)	$M_o = a + P_{amb. (surface at sea level)} / b$						
b = 1 / ΔM		△M = 1 / b						

	Table 1: Comparison of M-values for Nitrogen Between Various Haldanian Decompression Algorithms American System of Pressure Units - feet of sea water (fsw)																			
	Wo	rkmar			Bühlm	iann ZH	I-L ₁₂	DSAT RDP M-values (1987)					• MF11	-6	Bühlmann ZH-L16 M-values (1990)					
	l-valu	es (15	000)		ivi-vai	ues (19	03)	101-0	alues	(1907)		vi-vait	185 (190	50)				B	90) C	
Cnt	нт	Ma	ΛМ	Cnt	нт	Ma	∧м	Cnt	нт	Ma	Cnt	нт	Ma	ΛМ	Cnt	нт	M.	M.	M	лм
No.	min	fsw	slope	No.	min	fsw	slope	No.	min	fsw	No.	min	fsw	slope	No.	min	fsw	fsw	fsw	slope
110.		1011	0.000	1	2 65	111.9	1 2195	110.		1011	1.10.			olopo	1.10.		1011	1011		0.000
				<u> </u>	2.00	111.0	1.2100								1	40	106.4	106.4	106.4	1 9082
1	5	104	1.8					1	5	99.08	1	5	104.0	1.30	1b	5.0	97.3	97.3	97.3	1.7928
2	10	88	1.6	2	7.94	89.1	1,2195	2	10	82.63	2	10	80.5	1.05	2	8.0	83.2	83.2	83.2	1.5352
_				3	12.2	75.2	1.2121	-							3	12.5	73.8	73.8	73.8	1.3847
3	20	72	1.5	4	18.5	68.8	1,1976	3	20	66.89					4	18.5	66.8	66.8	66.8	1.2780
-				5	26.5	63.5	1.1834	4	30	59.74	3	25	62.3	1.08	5	27.0	62.3	62.3	60.8	1.2306
4	40	56	1.4	6	37	57.3	1.1628	5	40	55.73			00		6	38.3	58.5	57.4	55.6	1.1857
				7	53	53.2	1.1494	6	60	51.44	4	55	48.6	1.06	7	54.3	55.2	54.1	52.3	1.1504
5	80	54	1.3	8	79	51.9	1.1236	7	80	49.21					8	77.0	52.3	51.7	50.1	1.1223
		-	-		-			8	100	47.85	5	95	45.4	1.04	9	109	49.9	49.9	48.5	1.0999
6	120	52	1.2	9	114	51.9	1.1236	9	120	46.93			-	-						
7	160	51	1.15	10	146	50.2	1.0707	10	160	45.78	6	145	44.7	1.02	10	146	48.2	48.2	47.2	1.0844
8	200	51	1.1	11	185	50.2	1.0707	11	200	45.07	7	200	44.1	1.01	11	187	46.8	46.8	46.1	1.0731
9	240	50	1.1	12	238	47.3	1.0593	12	240	44.60					12	239	45.6	45.6	45.1	1.0635
	-			13	304	42.6	1.0395		-		8	285	44.0	1.0	13	305	44.5	44.1	44.1	1.0552
				14	397	42.6	1.0395	13	360	43.81	9	385	44.0	1.0	14	390	43.5	43.5	43.1	1.0478
				15	503	42.6	1.0395	14	480	43.40	10	520	44.0	1.0	15	498	42.6	42.6	42.4	1.0414
				16	635	42.6	1.0395								16	635	41.8	41.8	41.8	1.0359
											11	670	43.5	1.0						
Cp	Cpt = Compartment HT = Half-time M_{Ω} = Su					M _o =Su	rfacin	ig M-v	alue (sea	a leve	=1a	atm = 33	3 fsw =	1.01	325 ba	ır) ∆N	I = slope	of M-val	ue line	
-	Table 2: Comparison of M-values for Nitrogen Between Various Haldanian Decompression Algorithms																			
											1				. /		o mpre	00010117	higoni	1115
	\//o	rlumon		1	Dühlm	Eur	ropean S	yster		ressure l	Jnits -	mete	rs of se	a wate	r (ms	w)	Düble			11115
	Wo 4-valu	rkmar	1		Bühlm	Euriann ZH	ropean S I-L ₁₂	ysten C	n of Pr DSAT	ressure L RDP (1987)	Jnits -	mete DCAP	mode ms of se MM11	a wate F6	r (ms	w)	Bühln	nann ZH-		
N	Wo I-valu	rkmar es (19	n 965)		Bühlm M-val	Eur ann ZH ues (19	ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83)	ystem E M-v	n of Pr DSAT values	ressure L RDP (1987)	Jnits -	mete DCAP M-valu	rs of se MM11 ies (198	a wate F6 38)	r (ms	w)	Bühln M-va	nann ZH- alues (199	L16 90)	
N	Wo I-valu ыт	rkmar les (19) 965)	Cot	Bühlm M-val	Eur ann ZH ues (19	ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83)	ystem E M-v	n of Pr DSAT values	ressure L RDP (1987)	Jnits -	mete DCAP M-valu	rs of se MM11 ies (198	F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F6 F	r (ms	w) 	Bühln M-va A	nann ZH- alues (199 B	L16 90) C	A M
N Cpt	Wo I-valu HT min	rkmar les (19 M ₀) 965) М	Cpt	Bühlm M-val HT	Eur ann ZH ues (19 M _o	ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83) <u>A</u> M	ystem D M-v Cpt	n of Pr DSAT values HT	ressure L RDP (1987) M _o msw	Jnits -	mete DCAP M-valu HT	MM11 MM11 Mo Mo msw	a wate F6 38) △M	r (ms Cpt	w) HT min	Bühln M-va A M _O msw	nann ZH- alues (199 B M _O	L16 90) C M _o	
N Cpt No.	Wo I-valu HT min	rkmar es (19 M _o msw	0 065) ∆M slope	Cpt No.	Bühlm M-val HT min	Eur nann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34 2	ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83) <u>AM</u> slope	ystem E M-v Cpt No.	n of Pr DSAT values HT min	Mo Mo Msw	Jnits - I Cpt No.	mete DCAP M-valu HT min	MM11 MM11 Nes (198 M _o msw	a wate F6 38) △M slope	r (ms Cpt No.	w) HT min	Bühln M-va A M _O msw	nann ZH- alues (199 B M _O msw	L16 90) C M _o msw	∆M slope
N Cpt No.	Wo ⁄I-valu HT min	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw	0 065) ∆M slope	Cpt No.	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65	Eur iann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2	And Constrained on the second	ystem E M-v Cpt No.	n of Pr DSAT values HT min	Mo Mo Mo Msw	Jnits - I Cpt No.	mete DCAP M-valu HT min	MM11 MM11 Mo Mo msw	a wate F6 38) △M slope	r (ms Cpt No.	W) HT min	Bühln M-va A M _O msw	nann ZH- alues (199 B M _O msw	L16 20) C M _O msw	ΔM slope
N Cpt No.	Wo I-valu HT min	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw	0 065) △M slope	Cpt No.	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65	Eulann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2	Alloco IX ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83) AM slope 1.2195	ystem M-v Cpt No.	n of Pr DSAT values HT min	Monoperating Monoperating Monoperating 30.42	Jnits - I Cpt No.	HT M-valu HT min	MM11 Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo Mo	a wate F6 38) M 	r (ms Cpt No.	w) HT min 4.0	Bühln M-va A M _o msw 32.4	nann ZH- alues (199 B M _O msw 32.4	L16 <u>90)</u> C M ₀ msw <u>32.4</u> 29.6	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928
N Cpt No.	Wo A-valu HT min 5 10	rkmar les (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8	0 065) △M slope 1.8 1.6	Cpt No. 1	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65	Eun nann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2	America Contractor (Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor (Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor (Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor (Contractor Contractor Contractor Contractor (Contractor Contractor Contractor (Contractor Contractor (Contractor Contractor (Contractor Contractor (Contractor (ystem E M-v Cpt No. 1 2	h of Pr DSAT values HT min 5 10	Mo msw 30.42 25.37	Jnits - I Cpt No.	HT M-valu HT min 5	rs of se MM11 ues (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65	AM slope	r (ms Cpt No.	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4	nann ZH- alues (199 B M _O msw 32.4 29.6 25.4	L16 90) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352
N Cpt No.	Wo I-valu HT min 5 10	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8	0 △M slope 1.8 1.6	Cpt No. 1 2 3	Bühlm M-val HT 2.65 7.94 12.2	Eun Iann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9	America Content ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83) AM slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121	ystem Cpt No. 1 2	n of Pr DSAT values HT min 5 10	model model Model msw 30.42 25.37	Jnits - I Cpt No. 1 2	HT DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10	Model rs of se MM11 les (198 Mo msw 31.90 24.65	a wate F6 38) ▲M slope 1.30 1.05	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5	nann ZH- alues (199 M _o msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847
No.	Wo I-valu HT min 5 10 20	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8	0 065) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4	Bühlm M-val HT 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5	Eunann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0	And the second s	ystem Cpt No. 1 2 3	h of Pr DSAT values HT min 5 10 20	ressure L RDP (1987) M ₀ msw 30.42 25.37 20.54	Jnits - I Cpt No. 1 2	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10	rs of se MM11 ues (198 M _o msw 31.90 24.65	a wate F6 38) ▲M slope 1.30 1.05	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3	nann ZH- alues (199 M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780
No.	Wo 4-valu HT min 5 10 20	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9	0 065) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5	Bühlm M-val HT 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5	Euliann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3	America Content of the second	ystem Cpt No. 1 2 3 4	h of Pr DSAT values HT min 5 10 20 30	mon mon Mo msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34	Inits - Cpt No.	HT min 5 10 25	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65	AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0	nann ZH- alues (199 B M _O msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306
N Cpt No. 1 2 3 3	Wo <u>A-valu</u> HT min 5 10 20 40	rkmar les (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9	0 065) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37	Eu lann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4	AM ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83) AM slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5	h of Pr DSAT values HT min 5 10 20 30 40	Mo msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11	Inits - Cpt No.	HT mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1 b 2 3 4 5 6	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3	Bühln M-væ A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857
N Cpt No. 1 2 3 4	Wo 4-valu HT min 5 10 20 40	rkmar les (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0	0 065) ▲M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53	Eu lann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2	America Solution ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83) AM slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494	ystern Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	of Pr DSAT /alues HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60	mon mon 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79	Cpt No.	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504
No.	Wo <u>1-valu</u> HT min 5 10 20 40 80	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4	265) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79	Eu lann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8	Inclusion in the second sec	ystem E M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80	mon mon 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 15.11	1 1 2 3 4	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55	rs of se MM11 les (198 M _o msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78	Amslope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1 b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9	nann ZH- alues (199 M _o msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.2	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223
No.	Wo <i>I</i> -valu HT min 5 10 20 40 80	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4	0 065) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Bühlm M-val HT 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79	Eu ann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8	Inclusion in the second se	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Image: Non-Section of Proposed in the post of Proposedi	model model Mo msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69	1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 95	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.04	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1 b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.2 14.7	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2306 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Wo /I-valu HT min 5 10 20 20 40 80 80	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8	Def5) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9	Bühlm M-val HT 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114	Euriann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8	ropean S ropean S I-L ₁₂ 83) ▲M slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494 1.1236	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Image: Non-Section of Proposed in the posed in	mon msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 14.41	1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 95	rs of se MM11 les (198 M _o msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.04	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.2 14.7	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7	Wo /I-valu HT min 5 10 20 20 40 80 120 160	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146	Eu lann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.8	Inclusion in the second sec	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 160	mon mon 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 14.06	Inits - Inits - I Cpt No. 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 6	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 55 95 95	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.04 1.02	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wo <u>/-valu</u> HT <u>min</u> 5 10 20 20 40 80 120 160 200	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5 15.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146 185	Eu lann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.3 15.3	Angle Constraints of the second secon	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	HT alues HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 160 200	mon mon 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 14.06 13.84 13.84	Inits - Inits - I Cpt No. I I I 2 I 3 3 - 5 - 5 - 6 7	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 95 95 145 200	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66 13.53	AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.04 1.02 1.01	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844 1.0731
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wo <u>/-valu</u> HT <u>min</u> 5 10 20 20 40 80 120 160 200 240	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5 15.5 15.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146 185 238	Eu lann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.3 14.4	Inclusion in the second se	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 160 200 240	mon Mo msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 14.06 13.84 13.69	1 Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 95 95 145 200	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66 13.53	AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.04 1.02 1.01	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187 239	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0 13.7	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844 1.0731 1.0635
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wo <u>/-valu</u> HT <u>min</u> 5 10 20 40 40 80 120 160 200 240	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5 15.5 15.2	Def5) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.15 1.1 1.1	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Bühlm M-val HT 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146 185 238 304	Eu ann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.3 15.3 14.4 12.9	Image: Application of the state o	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Image: Additional system of of Product DSAT alues HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 200 240	mon msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 14.06 13.84 13.69	1 Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 95 145 200 285	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66 13.53 13.50	Amslope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.02 1.01 1.0	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187 239 305	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.5	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.4	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0 13.7 13.4	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844 1.0731 1.0635 1.0552
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wo /I-valu HT min 5 10 20 20 40 80 120 160 200 240	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5 15.5 15.2	Def5) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.15 1.1 1.1 1.1	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146 185 238 304 397	Eu ann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.3 15.3 14.4 12.9 12.9	Inclusion <	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 160 200 240 360	mon msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 13.84 13.69	1 Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 255 55 95 145 200 285 385	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.66 13.53 13.50 13.50	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.04 1.02 1.01 1.0 1.0 1.0	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187 239 305 390	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.5 13.2	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.4 13.2	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0 13.7 13.4 13.1	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844 1.0731 1.0635 1.0552 1.0478
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wo /I-valu HT min 5 10 20 20 40 40 80 120 160 200 240	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5 15.5 15.2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146 185 238 304 397 503	Euriann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.3 15.3 15.3 14.4 12.9 12.9 12.9	Inclusion <	ystem [M-v No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 160 200 240 360 480	model model RDP (1987) Mo msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 13.84 13.84 13.69 13.45 13.33	Inits - Inits - I -	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 5 10 25 55 95 200 285 385 520	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66 13.53 13.50 13.50 13.40	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.04 1.02 1.01 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	r (ms r (ms No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187 239 305 390 498	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.5 13.2 12.9	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 12.9	L16 D0) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0 13.7 13.4 13.1 12.9	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Wo <u>/-valu</u> HT <u>min</u> 5 10 20 20 40 120 160 200 240	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5 15.5 15.2	0 065) △M slope 1.8 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.15 1.1 1.1 1.1	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146 185 238 304 397 503 635	Eu ann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.3 15.3 14.4 12.9 12.9 12.9 12.9	Inclusion in the second se	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	HT min 5 10 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 160 200 240 360 480	Mo msw 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 14.06 13.84 13.45 13.33	Inits - Inits - I Cpt No. I I I 2 I 3 3 I 4 I 5 5 6 6 7 I 8 8 9 10	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 55 95 145 200 285 385 520	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66 13.53 13.50 13.50 13.40	AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.04 1.04 1.02 1.01 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187 239 305 390 498 635	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.5 13.2 12.9 12.7	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 12.9 12.7	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0 13.7 13.4 13.1 12.9 12.7	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844 1.0731 1.0635 1.0552 1.0478 1.0414 1.0359
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 - -	Wo <u>/-valu</u> HT <u>min</u> 5 10 20 20 40 80 120 160 240	rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 31.7 26.8 21.9 17.0 16.4 15.8 15.5 15.5 15.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 	Bühlm M-val HT min 2.65 7.94 12.2 18.5 26.5 37 53 79 114 146 185 238 304 397 503 635	Eu ann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.3 14.4 12.9 12.9 12.9 12.9	Inclusion in the second se	ystem [M-v Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14 	Image Image <th< td=""><td>mon 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 13.84 13.69 13.45 13.33</td><td>Inits - Inits - I - I 2 I 2 I - I 2 I -</td><td>mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 95 145 200 285 520 670</td><td>rs of se MM11 les (198 M₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66 13.53 13.50 13.50 13.40 13.30</td><td>A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.04 1.02 1.01 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0</td><td>r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16</td><td>w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187 239 305 390 498 635</td><td>Bühln M-va A M₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.5 13.2 12.9 12.7</td><td>nann ZH- alues (199 B M₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 12.9 12.7</td><td>L16 20) C M₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0 13.7 13.4 13.1 12.9 12.7</td><td>△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844 1.0731 1.0635 1.0552 1.0478 1.0414 1.0359</td></th<>	mon 30.42 25.37 20.54 18.34 17.11 15.79 15.11 14.69 14.41 13.84 13.69 13.45 13.33	Inits - Inits - I - I 2 I 2 I - I 2 I -	mete DCAP M-valu HT min 5 10 25 55 95 145 200 285 520 670	rs of se MM11 les (198 M ₀ msw 31.90 24.65 19.04 14.78 13.92 13.66 13.53 13.50 13.50 13.40 13.30	A wate F6 38) AM slope 1.30 1.05 1.08 1.06 1.04 1.02 1.01 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	r (ms Cpt No. 1 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	w) HT min 4.0 5.0 8.0 12.5 18.5 27.0 38.3 54.3 77.0 109 146 187 239 305 390 498 635	Bühln M-va A M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.8 16.8 15.9 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.5 13.2 12.9 12.7	nann ZH- alues (199 B M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 19.0 17.5 16.5 15.7 15.2 14.6 14.2 13.9 13.4 13.2 12.9 12.7	L16 20) C M ₀ msw 32.4 29.6 25.4 22.5 20.3 18.5 16.9 15.9 15.9 15.2 14.7 14.3 14.0 13.7 13.4 13.1 12.9 12.7	△M slope 1.9082 1.7928 1.5352 1.3847 1.2780 1.2306 1.1857 1.1504 1.1223 1.0999 1.0844 1.0731 1.0635 1.0552 1.0478 1.0414 1.0359

Table 3 ⁻ Comparison of M-values for Helium												
Retween Various Haldanian Decompression Algorithms											me	
American System of Pressure Units - feet of sea water (fsw)												
	Wo	rkmar	ncan Sy		Bühlm	ann 74		Bühlmann ZH-I 16A				
	/ulu	المار مع (10	965)		M _{-val}	ומווו ∠ו וופג (19	83)		M-valu	in 211-L اوم (190	107	
Cnt	HT	M	<u>AM</u>	Cnt		M	<u> </u>	Cnt		M	<u>AM</u>	
No	min	few	slone		min	few	slone		min	few	slone	
110.	111111	1310	Siope	1	1.0	111 0	1 2105	1	1 51	13/ 5	2 3557	
					1.0	111.9	1.2195	1 1	1.01	104.0	2.006/	
				2	3.0	80.1	1 2105	2	3.02	102.5	1 7400	
1	5	86	15	3	4.6	75.2	1 2100	3	4 72	89.4	1.7400	
⊢'	0	00	1.0	4	7.0	68.8	1 1976	4	6.99	79.7	1.3845	
2	10	74	14	5	10	63.5	1 1834	5	10.21	73.6	1.3189	
-				6	14	57.3	1 1628	6	14 48	68.2	1 2568	
3	20	66	13	7	20	53.2	1 1494	7	20.53	63.7	1 2079	
۴,				8	30	51.9	1 1236	8	29.11	59.8	1 1692	
4	40	60	12	9	43	51.9	1 1236	9	41 20	57.1	1 1419	
<u> </u>				10	55	52.4	1.0799	10	55.19	55.1	1.1232	
5	80	56	1.2	11	70	52.4	1.0799	11	70.69	54.0	1.1115	
	•••	•••		12	90	52.4	1.0799	12	90.34	53.3	1.1022	
6	120	54	1.2	13	115	52.4	1.0799	13	115.29	53.1	1.0963	
7	160	54	1.1	14	150	52.4	1.0799	14	147.42	52.8	1.0904	
8	200	53	1.0	15	190	52.4	1.0799	15	188.24	52.6	1.0850	
9	240	53	1.0	16	240	52.4	1.0799	16	240.03	52.3	1.0791	
	Cpt = Compartment HT = Half-time ΛM = slope of M-value line											
	M_{\odot} = Surfacing M-value (sea level = 1 atm = 33 fsw = 1.01325 bar)											
Table 4 [·] Comparison of M-values for Helium										1020 00		
		Tab	e^{11}	Con	npari	son o	f M-val	ues	for He	lium	ii <i>j</i>	
	Retw	Tab veen	ole 4: Vario	Con	npari Ialda	son o nian I	f M-valı Decom	ues	for Hel	lium Iaorith	nms	
	Betw	Tab /een	ole 4: Vario an Syst	Con us H	npari Ialda Press	son o inian l	f M-val Decom	ues pres	for Hel sion A	lium Igorith er (msw)	nms	
	Betw E Wo	Tab /een urope rkmar	ole 4: Vario an Syst	Con us H em of	npari lalda f Press Bühlm	SON O Inian I Sure Un Iann ZH	f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂	ues pres rs of	for Hel sion A sea wate Bühlmar	lium Igorith er (msw) nn ZH-L	nms) 16A	
	Betw E Wo M-valu	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19	ole 4: Vario an Syst	Con us H em of	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val	SON O Inian I Sure Un Iann ZH Ues (19	f M-val Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83)	ues pres	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu	lium Igorith er (msw nn ZH-L nes (199	nms) 16A 90)	
 Cpt	Betw E Wo <u>/I-valu</u> HT	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 Mo	ole 4: Vario an Syst 065) ΔM	Con us H em of Cpt	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT	SON O Inian I Sure Un Dann ZH Ues (19 M _O	F M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) AM	ues pres rs of Cpt	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT	lium Igorith In ZH-L ies (199 Mo	nms) 16A 90) 	
No.	Betw E Wo <i>I</i> -valu HT min	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw	ble 4: Vario an Syst 065) ΔM slope	Con us F em of Cpt No.	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min	SON O Inian I Sure Un Iann ZH Ues (19 M _O msw	f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) ΔM slope	ues pres rs of Cpt No.	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min	lium Igorith on ZH-L les (199 M _o msw	16A 90) 	
No.	Betw E Wo <i>I</i> -valu HT min	Tab veen urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw	ble 4: Vario an Syst 065) ΔM slope	Con us H em of Cpt No.	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0	SON O Inian I Sure Un Iann ZH Ues (19 M _O msw 34.2	f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) <u>AM</u> slope 1.2195	Ues pres rs of Cpt No.	for Hel sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51	lium Igorith In ZH-L Ies (199 Mo msw 41.0	100 16A 00) <u>AM</u> slope 2.3557	
No.	Betw E Wo /-valu HT min	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw	ble 4: Vario an Syst 065) △M slope	Con em of Cpt No.	npari lalda f Press Bühlm <u>M-val</u> HT min 1.0	SON O Inian I Isure Un Iann ZH Wo Mo msw 34.2	$\frac{ - - - - }{ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -$	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1	for Hel sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88	lium Igorith In ZH-L les (199 M ₀ msw 41.0 37.2	nms) 16A 00) 	
No.	Betw E Wo <u>/-valu</u> HT min	Tab veen urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw	le 4: Vario an Syst))65) △M slope	Con em of Cpt No.	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0	SON O Inian I Isure Un Itann ZH ues (19 Mo msw 34.2 27.2	F Aun - f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2	for Hel sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02	lium Igorith In ZH-L les (199 M ₀ msw 41.0 37.2 31.2	100 16A 00) <u>AM</u> slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400	
No.	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min	Tab veen urope rkmar es (19 Mo msw	le 4: Vario an Syst)) () () () () () () () () () () () ()	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6	SON O Inian I sure Un iann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9	F M-vali Decom I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.2195	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3	for Hellsion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72	lium Igorith Igr (msw nn ZH-L nes (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2	100 16A 00) ΔM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321	
No.	Betw E Wo <u>A-valu</u> HT min	Tab veen urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw	le 4: Vario an Syst)) (AM slope 1.5	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0	Son O Inian I Isure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M _O msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0	f M-vali Decom I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99	lium Igorith In ZH-L les (199 M ₀ msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3	16A 00) 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845	
No.	Betw E Wo <u>A-valu</u> HT min 5	Tab veen urope rkmar es (19 Mo msw 26.2 22.5	le 4: Vario an Syst))65) △M slope 1.5	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10	SON 0 Inian I Isure Un Itann ZH Ues (19 Mo msw 34.2 27.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3	F Aun - f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5	for Helssion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L Ies (199 M ₀ msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4	1ms) 16A 00) △M slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189	
No.	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10	Tab veen urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw 26.2 22.5	Ile ario Vario an Syst))) () () () () () () () ()	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	100 (see (see npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4	F M-vali Decom I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L Ies (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8	100 16A 00) ΔM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568	
No.	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20	Tab veen urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw 26.2 22.5 20.1	le 4: Vario an Syst))∂65) △M slope 1.5 1.4 1.3	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14 20	SON O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2	F M-vali f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53	lium Igorith Igorith Igorith In ZH-L Ies (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4	1000 16A 00) AM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079	
 Cpt No. 1 2 3	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20	Tab veen vrope rkmar es (19 M _o msw 26.2 22.5 22.5 20.1	le 4: Vario an Syst)) (AM slope 1.5 1.4 1.3	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14 20 30	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M _O msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8	Image: style="text-align: center;">Image: style="text-align: center;"/>Image: style="text-align: style="text-align: center;"/>Image: styl	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for Hel sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L les (199 M ₀ msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2	16A 00) 16A 00) 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692	
 No. 1 2 3 4	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20 40	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M _o msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3	1.3 1.2 1.1.1 1.1.1 1.1.1 1.2	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Impari Ialda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14 20 30 43	SON O Inian I sure Un hann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8	F Atim - f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) ΔM slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494 1.1236 1.1236	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for Helsion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT nin 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L ies (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4	100 16A 00)	
No.	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20 40	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3	1.5 1.2 1.2 1.12 1.12	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	100 (300 mpari 100 mpari 100 messes 100 mess	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M _O msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.8	F Alin - f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494 1.1236 1.0799	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L les (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8	100 16A 00) AM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232	
No.	Betw E Wo /-valu HT min 5 10 20 40 80	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3 17.0	le 4: Vario an Syst))) () () () () () () () ()	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	100 (300) 1	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M _O msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.9 15.9	F Alin - f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.21	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69	lium Igorith Igorith Igorith Igorith Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4	16A 00) 16A 00) ΔM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115	
No. 1 2 3 4 5	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20 40 80	Tak Urope rkmar es (19 Mo msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3 17.0	le 4: Vario an Syst) 065) △M slope 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.2	Con em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14 20 30 43 55 70 90	Son O Inian I sure Un Jann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.9 15.9 15.9	I atili - I atili	Ues pres pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69 90.34	lium Igorith In ZH-L les (199 M ₀ msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4 16.2	16A 00) 16A 00) 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115 1.1022	
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20 40 80 120	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3 17.0 16.4	1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	Con us F em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	100 (300 mpari 100 mpari 100 messes 100 mess	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M _o msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9	F Atim - f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) ΔM slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494 1.1236 1.1236 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69 90.34 115.29	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L les (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4 16.2 16.1	1000 16A 00) 16A 00) 16A 00) 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115 1.1022 1.0963	
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Betw E Wo /-valu HT min 5 10 20 40 40 80 120 160	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3 17.0 16.4 16.4	le 4: Vario an Syst))∂65) △M slope 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.1	Con us F em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	npari lalda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14 20 30 4.3 55 70 90 115 150	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.8 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9	I atili f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L12 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.1236 1.1236 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69 90.34 115.29 147.42	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L Ies (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4 16.2 16.1 16.1	1000 16A 00) 16A 00) 16A 00) 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115 1.1022 1.0963 1.0904	
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20 40 80 120 160 200	Tak /een urope rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3 17.0 16.4 16.4 16.4	le 4: Vario an Syst))))) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ())) ()))) ()))) ()))) ())))()))()))()))()))()))())(Con us F em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Image: second pari Ialda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14 20 30 4.3 55 70 90 115 150 190	Son O Inian I sure Un Jann ZH ues (19 M _O msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9	Image: fill Image: fill f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L12 83) ΔM slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494 1.1236 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT nin 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69 90.34 115.29 147.42 188.24	lium Igorith r (msw n ZH-L les (199 M ₀ msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4 16.2 16.1 16.1 16.1	16A 00) 16A 00) 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115 1.1022 1.0963 1.0904 1.0850	
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 9	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20 40 40 80 120 160 200 240	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3 17.0 16.4 16.4 16.1	1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	Con us F em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Image: second pari Ialda f Press Bühlm M-val HT min 1.0 3.0 4.6 7.0 10 14 20 30 4.3 55 70 90 115 150 190 240	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9	I atili f M-vali Decom its - mete I-L12 83) ΔM slope 1.2195 1.2195 1.2195 1.2121 1.1976 1.1834 1.1628 1.1494 1.1236 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799 1.0799	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69 90.34 115.29 147.42 188.24 240.03	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L les (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4 16.2 16.1 16.1 16.0 15.9	1000 16A 00) AM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115 1.1022 1.0963 1.0904 1.0850 1.0791	
No. Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9	Betw E Wo A-valu HT min 5 10 20 40 40 80 120 160 200 240 Cpt =	Tab /een urope rkmar es (19 M ₀ msw 26.2 22.5 20.1 18.3 17.0 16.4 16.4 16.1 16.1 Comp	le 4: Vario an Syst))∂65) △M slope 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.0 0.0 0000000000	Con us F em of Cpt No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 t	Image Image <th< td=""><td>Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9</td><td>F M-vali Decom its - mete I-L₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.0799 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791</td><td>Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 M =</td><td>for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69 90.34 115.29 147.42 188.24 240.03 slope of</td><td>lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L les (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4 16.2 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.0 15.9 M-value</td><td>1000 16A 00) AM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115 1.1022 1.0963 1.0904 1.0904 1.0791 e line</td></th<>	Son O Inian I sure Un Iann ZH ues (19 M ₀ msw 34.2 27.2 22.9 21.0 19.3 17.4 16.2 15.8 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9	F M-vali Decom its - mete I-L ₁₂ 83) △M slope 1.2195 1.0799 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791 1.0791	Ues pres rs of Cpt No. 1 1b 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 M =	for He sion A sea wate Bühlmar M-valu HT min 1.51 1.88 3.02 4.72 6.99 10.21 14.48 20.53 29.11 41.20 55.19 70.69 90.34 115.29 147.42 188.24 240.03 slope of	lium Igorith Igorith In ZH-L les (199 Mo msw 41.0 37.2 31.2 27.2 24.3 22.4 20.8 19.4 18.2 17.4 16.8 16.4 16.2 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.0 15.9 M-value	1000 16A 00) AM slope 2.3557 2.0964 1.7400 1.5321 1.3845 1.3189 1.2568 1.2079 1.1692 1.1419 1.1232 1.1115 1.1022 1.0963 1.0904 1.0904 1.0791 e line	

Decompression M-values are characterized by having a slope parameter which determines the change in M-value with change in ambient pressure. The value of the slope parameter will vary depending on the half-time of the hypothetical "tissue" compartment. Generally, faster half-time compartments will have a greater slope than slower half-time compartments. This reflects the observation that faster compartments tolerate greater overpressure than slower compartments. If the slope is greater than 1.0 then the M-value line "expands" on the pressure graph and that compartment will tolerate greater overpressure gradients with increasing depth. A fixed slope of 1.0 means that the compartment will tolerate the same overpressure gradient regardless of depth. In all cases, the value of the slope can never be less than 1.0. Otherwise, the M-value line would cross under the ambient pressure line at some point and this would represent an "illogical" situation whereby the compartment could not tolerate even the ambient pressure.

THE AMBIENT PRESSURE LINE

The ambient pressure line is an allimportant reference line on the pressure graph. Passing through the origin, it has a slope of 1.0 and simply represents the collection of points where the compartment inert gas loading will be equal to ambient pressure. This is important because when the inert gas loading in a compartment goes above the ambient pressure line, an overpressure gradient is created. An M-value line represents the established limit for tolerated overpressure gradient above the ambient pressure line.

THE DECOMPRESSION ZONE

The "decompression zone" is the region on the pressure graph between the ambient pressure line and the M-value line (see Figure 3). Within the context of the dissolved gas model, this zone represents the functional area in which decompression takes place. In theory, a positive gradient above ambient pressure is desireable in order for a compartment to "off-gas" or "decompress." In some instances, such as with a high fraction of oxygen in the mix, a compartment will be able to off-gas even though the total inert gas partial pressure is less than ambient pressure. An "efficient" decompression profile is characterized by leading compartment gas loadings which plot within the decompression zone. The gas loadings for various half-time compartments will cross into and then out of the decompression zone during the decompression profile depending upon which compartment is "leading" or "controlling" at the time. Generally, the faster compartments will cross into the decompression zone first and be leading (gas loadings closest to M-value lines) and then the rest of the decompression profile will be controlled by the slower compartments in sequence.

MULTIPLE INERT GASES

Present-day dissolved gas models employ a concept for multiple inert gases which states that the total inert gas pressure in a hypothetical "tissue" compartment is the sum of the partial pressures of the inert gases present in the compartment, even though the various inert gases each have a different half-time for that compartment.

Mixed gas decompression algorithms must deal with more than one inert gas in the breathing mix, such as helium and nitrogen in trimix. M-values for this situation are handled differently by the various algorithms. Some methodologies use the same M-values for both nitrogen and helium; usually they are based on the M-values for nitrogen. In the Bühlmann algorithm, an intermediate M-value is calculated which is an adjustment between the separate M-values for nitrogen and helium based on the proportion of these inert gases present in the compartment. In the M-value linear equation, the Coefficient \mathbf{a} (He+N₂) and the Coefficient **b** (He+ N_2) are computed in accordance with the partial pressures of helium (PHe) and nitrogen (PN₂) as follows:

 $\mathbf{a} (\text{He+N}_2) = [\mathbf{a} (\text{He}) \cdot \text{PHe} + \mathbf{a} (\text{N}_2) \cdot \text{PN}_2] / [\text{PHe} + \text{PN}_2];$

 \mathbf{b} (He+N₂) = [\mathbf{b} (He)·PHe + \mathbf{b} (N₂)·PN₂]/[PHe + PN₂]. An M-value Concept: A solid line drawn through a fuzzy, gray area; a representative threshold beyond which a high frequency of symptoms of decompression sickness (DCS) can be expected in a majority of divers



Figure 2

WHAT DO M-VALUES REPRESENT?

A misconception among some divers is that M-values represent a hard line between "getting the bends" and "not getting the bends." This might explain why some divers routinely push the limits of their tables or dive computer. The experience of diving medicine has shown that the established limits (M-values) are sometimes inadequate. The degree of inadequacy is seen to vary with the individual and the situation. Accordingly, it may be more appropriate to describe an M-value as "a solid line drawn through a fuzzy, gray area" (see Figure 2). The reasons for this lack of definitude involve complex human physiology, variations among individuals, and predisposing factors for decompression sickness.

Overall, the dissolved gas model has worked well for divers and the knowledge base has continued to grow. For example, it was originally presumed that all inert gas had to remain dissolved in solution and that any bubbles were indicative of DCS. However, we now know that silent bubbles are present even during symptom-free dives. Thus, the reality is that there is a combination of two conditions during a dive - most of the inert gas presumably in solution and some of the inert gas out of solution as bubbles. An M-value, therefore, represents not only a tolerable overpressure gradient, but a tolerable amount of bubbles as well.

M-values are empirically verified. meaning that actual decompression trials are carried out with human subjects. These tests are conducted with a relatively small number of subjects intended to represent the much larger population of divers. Even though good data is obtained about the approximate threshold for symptoms of decompression sickness (M-values), this process cannot accurately predict or guarantee an absolute threshold for everyone. Also, we know from experience that certain factors are predisposing for decompression sickness: lack of physical conditioning, fattiness, fatigue, drugs/alcohol, dehydration, overexertion, very cold water, open patent foramen ovale (PFO), etc. Individual susceptibility can vary on a daily basis as well.

M-VALUES AND CONSERVATISM

Limited symptoms, if any, and a reasonably low level of risk are associated with M-values. This criteria,

however, may not be entirely acceptable to all divers. Many divers would like to be in the range of "no symptoms" and "very low level of risk" when it comes to their decompression profiles. Fortunately, it is well understood among decompression modelers and programmers that calculations based on the established M-values alone cannot produce sufficiently reliable decompression tables for all individuals and all scenarios. This is why decompression programs provide for a means of introducing conservatism into the calculations.

Some of the methodologies include increasing the inert gas fractions used in the calculations, applying a depth safety factor which calculates for a deeper-thanactual dive depth, calculating for a longer-than-actual bottom time, and adjusting the half-times to be asymmetrical during off-gassing (slower). Some programs use more than one of these methods combined. These methodologies for conservatism are effective when properly applied. The degree of "effectiveness" is usually gauged by divers in terms of how much longer and deeper the decompression profiles become, and through individual experience with the outcome of the profiles.

M-VALUE RELATIONSHIPS

Some fundamental relationships involving M-values and decompression calculations are indicated on the pressure graph in Figure 3. The Percent M-value calculation has been used by various decompression modelers over the years. Professor Bühlmann, for example, evaluated many of his decompression trials on a Percent M-value basis and reported the data as such in his book(s).

The Percent M-value Gradient calculation is a measure of how far a decompression profile has entered into the "decompression zone." 0% M-value Gradient is at the ambient pressure line and represents the bottom of the decompression zone. 100% M-value Gradient is at the M-value line and represents the top of the decompression zone.

ANALYSIS OF PROFILES



Figure 3

Many divers would like to know precisely what the effect is of the conservatism factors in their desktop decompression program(s). They realize that longer and deeper profiles are generated with increasing conservatism factors, but more fundamental information is desired.

Both the Percent M-value and Percent M-value Gradient relationships are useful for the analysis and evaluation of decompression profiles. Using a standard set of reference M-values, different profiles can be evaluated on a consistent basis. This includes comparison of profiles generated by entirely different programs, algorithms, and decompression models.

UNIVERSAL REFERENCE VALUES

The Bühlmann ZH-L16 M-values are employed in most, if not all, of the desktop decompression programs in use by technical divers. These M-values were developed and tested for a broad range of ambient pressure exposures; from high altitude diving to deep sea diving. When used with appropriate conservatism, they have proven to be "reliable" for technical diving (to the extent that something can be reliable in an inexact science). They have become the de facto world-wide standard that can serve as universal reference values for the comparison and evaluation of decompression profiles.

It is a relatively easy task for programmers to include Percent M-value and Percent M-value Gradient calculations in summary form with the decompression profiles. Table 5 is an example of this and shows the effect of conservatism factors used in a commercially-available desktop decompression program. At 0% Conservatism Factor, the decompression profile is in the 90% M-value range and has entered approximately 70% into the decompression zone (70% M-value Gradient). It is evident that this program employs a level of baseline conservatism Table 5: Effect of Conservatism Factors in a Commercially-Available Program on Decompression Profiles Referenced to Bühlmann ZH-L16 M-values (ZH-L16A Helium, ZH-L16B Nitrogen) 15/40 Trimix Dive (15% O₂ / 40% He) to 250 fsw for 30 min. Deco mixes - Nitrox 36% at 110 fsw, 100% O₂ at 20 fsw

	$15/40$ mining Dive ($15/6$ O_2 / $40/6$ me to 250 is not 50 min. Deco mixes - Nitrox 50% at 110 is w, 100% O_2 at 20 is w												
	0% Cor	nservatism F	actor	5	50% Co	nservatism F	actor	100% Conservatism Factor					
			Maximum *				Maximum *				Maximum *		
Deco	Run	Maximum *	% M-value	Deco	Run	Maximum *	% M-value	Deco	Run	Maximum *	% M-value		
Stop	Time	% M-value	Gradient	Stop	Time	% M-value	Gradient	Stop	Time	% M-value	Gradient		
(fsw)	(min)	(Cpt No.)	(Cpt No.)	(fsw)	(min)	(Cpt No.)	(Cpt No.)	(fsw)	(min)	(Cpt No.)	(Cpt No.)		
								140	35	74.3% (4)	29.3% (3)		
								130	37	76.0% (4)	31.0% (3)		
				120	35	81.6% (4)	47.0% (3)	120	40	77.4% (4)	33.9% (4)		
110	36	85.8% (4)	59.4% (4)	110	38	84.5% (4)	55.7% (4)	110	43	77.6% (4)	35.5% (4)		
				100	39	79.0% (5)	39.4% (4)	100	45	75.4% (5)	22.6% (4)		
90	38	89.0% (4)	69.3% (4)	90	41	82.1% (5)	46.0% (4)	90	49	76.5% (6)	26.3% (5)		
80	41	89.5% (5)	69.1% (4)	80	45	83.2% (5)	49.1% (5)	80	53	76.3% (6)	20.3% (5)		
70	44	88.3% (5)	65.6% (5)	70	49	82.2% (6)	42.5% (5)	70	58	77.0% (6)	22.1% (6)		
60	48	89.8% (6)	67.2% (6)	60	55	83.2% (6)	45.1% (6)	60	68	78.2% (7)	24.9% (6)		
50	55	91.1% (6)	72.2% (6)	50	64	83.1% (7)	44.1% (6)	50	78	76.9% (7)	17.6% (7)		
40	64	90.3% (7)	67.7% (7)	40	75	83.1% (7)	42.8% (7)	40	96	78.4% (8)	22.5% (7)		
30	79	90.7% (7)	70.7% (7)	30	95	84.5% (8)	46.0% (7)	30	124	78.3% (8)	22.4% (8)		
20	94	90.9% (8)	70.7% (8)	20	113	84.2% (9)	47.1% (8)	20	147	78.9% (9)	24.4% (9)		
10	119	91.1% (9)	72.2% (9)	10	144	85.8% (10)	51.7% (10)	10	189	81.2% (11)	32.6% (10)		
0	120	93.6% (11)	80.2% (11)	0	145	88.6% (12)	62.6% (12)	0	190	84.9% (13)	46.6% (13)		
* Upon	Arrival a	t the Stop											

since none of the values reaches 100%. At 50% Conservatism Factor (which is recommended in the user's manual), the profile is in the 85% M-value range and has entered approximately 40-50% into the decompression zone. At 100% Conservatism Factor, the profile is in the 77% M-value range and has entered approximately 20-35% into the decompression zone. Note that the values given in Table 5 are upon arrival the respective stops which is the worstcase condition. This correlates with the edges of the "stair-steps" in the gas loading profile on the pressure graph (see example in Figure 3). The highest values across all profiles are calculated upon arrival at the surface which illustrates why a very slow final ascent from the last decompression stop to the surface is always prudent.

MARGIN OF SAFETY

Using the M-value relationships and a standard set of reference M-values, divers can determine personal decompression limits which are both well-defined and transportable. The margin of safety selected will depend on individual disposition and prior experience with profiles. An honest assessment of one's

fitness for decompression diving is always in order. For example, this author/diver (an office worker) has chosen a personal limit of 85% M-value and 50-60% M-value Gradient for typical trimix dives.

To ensure a fixed margin of safety, a decompression profile can be calculated directly to a predetermined percentage of the M-value Gradient. The advantage of this approach is complete consistency across the entire ambient pressure range and precise control over the resultant profile.

About the Author

Erik C. Baker is an electrical engineer with a consulting engineering firm in Florida. He pursues research into decompression and diving physiology as a hobby, and has developed several FORTRAN computer programs for decompression calculation and analysis. Erik is a certified cave diver and trimix diver.

Decompression References:

Bennett PB, Elliott DH, eds. 1993. The Physiology and Medicine of Diving. London: WB Saunders. Boycott AE, Damant GCC, Haldane JS. 1908. The prevention of compressed air illness. J Hyg (London) 8:342-443.

Bühlmann, AA. 1984. Decompression-Decompression Sickness. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Bühlmann, AA. 1995. Tauchmedizin. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Hamilton RW, Muren A, Röckert H, Örnhagen H. 1988. Proposed new Swedish air decompression tables. In: Shields TG, ed. XIVth Annual Meeting of the EUBS. European Undersea Biomedical Society. Aberdeen: National Hyperbaric Center.

Hamilton RW, Rogers RE, Powell MR, Vann RD. 1994. Development and validation of no-stop decompression procedures for recreational diving: The DSAT Recreational Dive Planner. Santa Ana, CA: Diving Science and Technology Corp.

Schreiner HR, Kelley PL. 1971. A pragmatic view of decompression. In: Lambertsen CJ, ed. Underwater Physiology IV. New York: Academic Press.

Wienke BR. 1991. Basic decompression theory and application. Flagstaff, AZ: Best.

Wienke BR. 1994. Basic diving physics and applications. Flagstaff, AZ: Best.

Workman RD. 1965. Calculation of decompression schedules for nitrogenoxygen and helium-oxygen dives. Research Report 6-65. Washington: Navy Experimental Diving Unit.

Workman RD. 1969. American decompression theory and practice. In: Bennett PB, Elliott DH, eds. The physiology and medicine of diving and compressed air work. London: Baillière, Tindall & Cassell.